# ELEMENTAL COMPOSITION OF SOME *RUMEX* SPECIES (*POLYGONACEAE*)

### **G.D. SHERMATOVA\***

Researcher, "TIIAME" National Research University, Tashkent, 39, Kari-Niyaziy Street, Uzbekistan. Corresponding Author Email: gdshermatova@gmail.com

### D.D. SHERMATOVA

Researcher, Gulistan State University, Gulistan, micro-district 4, Uzbekistan. Email: fortuna786@mail.ru

### I.B. SAPAEV

"TIIAME" National Research University, Tashkent, 39, Kari-Niyaziy Street, Uzbekistan; New Uzbekistan University, Tashkent, 54, Mustaqillik Avenue, Uzbekistan. Email: sapaevibrokhim@gmail.com

### **B.S. MIRZAEV**

"TIIAME" National Research University, Tashkent, 39, Kari-Niyaziy Street, Uzbekistan. Email: sapaevibrokhim@gmail.com

### SH.SH. SAGDULLAEV

Professor, Director of Institute of the Chemistry of Plant, Substances named after Acad. S.Yu. Yunusov AS of Uzbekistan, Tashkent, 77, M. Ulugbek Street, Uzbekistan. Email: ixrv@mail.ru

### Abstract

Determination of plant materials elemental composition is a useful tool for modern phytochemical research. The analysis of the element composition of medicinal plant seeds, leaves and roots could provide new data for their biological and ecological assessment. The purpose of the research is a comparative study of the quantitative content of macro- and microelements in the leaves, roots and seeds of some Rumex species (Polygonaceae), R. pamiricus Rech. f, R. conglomeratus Murray, R. confertus Willd, R. aquaticus L. In this work, using the method of ICP-MS analysis the elemental composition of seeds, leaves and roots was studied. As a result for most elements their similarity was noted, which indicates the commonality of the metabolic processes in plants of related species. The macroelements in the seeds, leaves and roots of the studied species, **Na**, **Mg**, **AI**, **Si**, **P**, **K**, **and Ca** are accumulated in the maximum amount, and of the microelements – Fe. Also, 5,619 mg/kg **Pb** in R. confertus leaves, 20,726 mg/kg in R. pamiricus seeds, 3,036 mg/kg in R. aquaticus roots, significant accumulation of 10,055 mg/kg was observed in R. conglomeratus seeds and 33,338 mg/kg **Bi** in R. aquaticus leaves.

Keywords: Polygonaceae, Rumex, micro- macroelements, seeds, leaves, roots, composition

## 1. INTRODUCTION

From a scientific and practical point of view, it is important to solve the problem of providing the population with medicines based on local plant materials. In order to expand the raw material base and create effective, economically and environmentally beneficial original drugs, a search is being made for new non-deficient raw materials sources of medicinal plants, and the study of natural biologically active substances is being deepened.

*Rumex* is the second largest genus of *Polygonaceae*, which is rich in resources. There are more than 250 species of *Rumex* plants in the world, with 16 species located in Uzbekistan [1]. *Rumex pamiricus* Rech. f., *Rumex confertus* Willd, *Rumex aquaticus* L. and *Rumex conglomeratus* are the most common

# Hunan Daxue Xuebao/Journal of Hunan University Natural Sciences ISSN : 1674-2974 | CN 43-1061 / N

DOI 10.17605/OSF.IO/E3VMU Vol: 60 | Issue: 07 | 2023 G.D. Shermatova et al,. (2023)

species among them [2,3]. Plants of the genus *Rumex* L. (sorrel, dock) are widely distributed in North America, Central and Eastern Europe, Kazakhstan, the Far East and partly in the Caucasia, Russia and East Asia [4,5,6,7,8]. Many plants from genus *Rumex* are traditional folk medicinal plants in Uzbekistan, which have been used for a long history to treat various bleeding and thrombocytopenia, fungal dermatosis and diarrhea, dysentery, stercoral ulcer, as appetizer, analeptic medicine for lever, heart, as antihemorrhagic, to treat hepatitis, fever and other diseases [2,9]. Modern pharmacology research shows that they have great development and utilization value and curative effect in 8 aspects, namely bacteriostasis, anti-inflammatory, antiviral, cardiovascular, liver, anti-oxidation and anti-corrosion, anti-tumor, immune regulation [1].

Plants belonging to the *Polygonaceae* are known to produce a large number of biologically important secondary metabolites, such as anthraquinones, flavonoid glycosides, phenolic acids, naphthalenes, stilbenoids, steroids and leucoanthocyanidins [10]. We have reported in previous articles on phenolic substances isolated from some *Rumex* species [1, 2]. Among wild plants, *Rumex* plants have a great potential [1]. They are already widely used as food, fodder, melliferous, and medicinal plants [6, 11, and 12]. In some countries, the fresh leaves of Rumex plants (such as R. vesicarius, R. acetosella, R. abyssinicus, R. crispus, R. induratus, R. sanquineus, R. obtusifolius, R. tuberosus, R. thyrsiflorus, and R. acetosa) are used as an important ingredient in salads and soups [13, 14, and 15]. According to the literature information, several Rumex species are included in the pharmacopoeias of various countries. For example, R. crispus is listed in the American Herbal Pharmacopoeia as a general detoxifier and an agent for skin treatment [16]. The State Pharmacopoeia of the Russian Federation includes the roots of R. confertus as an herbal medicine, which is used in the treatment of liver diseases, dysentery, pulmonary and uterine bleeding, as well as a laxative [17, 18]. To date, about 70 elements are known that a human needs to fully function. The accumulation level of individual elements in plants depends on their content in the soil, the amount and form of fertilizer applied [19]. In our previous articles, the information provided about the chemical composition of *Rumex confertus* Willd. and Rumex pamiricus Rech. f. plants and their biological activities [20].

The study of the phytogenetic relationship between the leaves, roots and seeds of *Rumex* species, as well as pharmacognostic studies to find natural raw materials sources of biologically active substances effective in the treatment of various diseases is being carried out. Based on the foregoing, the purpose of this work is to study the micro-, macroelement composition of the species *Rumex* growing in Uzbekistan.

## 2. EXPERIMENTAL PART

### 2.1. Plant Material

The plant leaves, roots and seeds of the following species were used as the objects of study: *Rumex pamiricus* Rech. f, *Rumex conglomeratus* Murray, *Rumex confertus* Willd, *Rumex aquaticus* L. [1,7]. Leaf samples (on April 2021), seeds and roots (on July, September 2021) of the plants were collected from Tashkent Botanical Garden. Based on the foregoing, the purpose of this work is to study the micro-, macroelement composition of the species *Rumex* growing in Uzbekistan (Tables 1-3).

### 2.2. Methods

# Method for the quantitative determination of micro and macro elements by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS)

0.05-0.5 g of an accurate sample of the test substance is weighed on an analytical balance and transferred to autoclave with teflon liner. Then, the appropriate amount of purified concentrated

## Hunan Daxue Xuebao/Journal of Hunan University Natural Sciences ISSN : 1674-2974 | CN 43-1061 / N

DOI 10.17605/OSF.IO/E3VMU Vol: 60 | Issue: 07 | 2023 G.D. Shermatova et al,. (2023)

mineral acids (nitric acid) and hydrogen peroxide is poured onto the autoclaves. The autoclaves are closed and placed on a Berghof microwave digester with MWS-3+ software or a similar type of microwave digester. The decomposition program is determined based on the type of the test substance, the degree of decomposition and the number of autoclaves (up to 12 pcs) are indicated.

After decomposition, the contents in autoclaves are quantitatively transferred into 50 or 100 ml volumetric flasks and the volume is adjusted to the mark with 0.5% nitric acid. The determination of the test substance is carried out on an ISP MS instrument or a similar instrument of the optics of an emission spectrometer with inductively coupled argon plasma. In the determination method, the optimal wavelength of the determined micro or macro elements is indicated, at which they have maximum emission. In the construction of a sequence of analyzes, the amount in mg and the degree of its dilution in ml are indicated. After receiving the data, the instrument automatically calculates the true quantitative content of the substance in the test sample and enters it in the form of mg/kg or  $\mu$ g/g with error limits and RSD in %. Appliances and utensils used: ICP MS NEXION-2000 or equivalent mass spectrometer, microwave decomposition device Berghof (Germany) or similar autoclave with teflon liner, volumetric flasks. Reagents used: multi element standard for MS, standard - Hg (mercury), nitric acid (chemically pure) purified, hydrogen peroxide, deionized water, argon (gas purity 99.995%) [21].

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

N₂	Plant name	Sample	Li 7	Be 9	B 11	Na 23	Mg 24	Al27	Si 28	P31	K39	Ca 42
		-	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)
1	R. confertus	Leaf	2.391	0.036	54.263	866.750	9452.661	510.569	1107.593	6962.817	40451.934	67322.554
2	R. pamiricus	Leaf	2.721	0.044	22.844	1936.457	11030.183	901.560	984.838	4792.515	34771.201	38376.063
3	R. aquaticus	Root	0.733	0.003	4.429	471.448	1510.822	192.084	317.711	3569.000	5654.538	6782.916
4	R. pamiricus	Root	0.456	0.009	8.741	525.898	3254.304	127.251	230.325	4794.430	3703.773	20097.160
5	R.conglomeratus	Root	0.240	0.020	8.686	380.533	2077.012	178.156	176.092	4802.540	4071.305	60992.095
6	R. confertus	Seed	0.292	0.018	8.571	312.152	940.028	95.247	192.032	4050.926	8559.468	4864.040
7	R. aquaticus	Leaf	0.244	0.022	11.949	501.950	623.773	157.661	129.606	3283.555	4912.655	8643.259
8	R. pamiricus	Seed	0.333	0.024	9.474	1529.804	1285.874	109.350	176.528	5798.352	16325.633	4459.746
9	R. confertus	Root	0.405	0.029	4.511	337.754	3894.376	142.288	323.671	6671.692	8982.879	13617.790
10	R.conglomeratus	Leaf	0.288	0.036	2.355	405.326	2487.009	164.710	217.548	6563.332	13086.703	9237.921
11	R.conglomeratus	Seed	1.015	0.008	1.225	613.963	4390.056	518.688	688.268	11271.288	13895.168	26353.480
12	R. aquaticus	Seed	0.511	0.032	6.268	328.095	3701.858	268.829	540.608	7983.973	13038.153	15445.351
N₂	Plant name	Sample	Ti 48	V51	Cr 52	Mn 55	Fe 57	Co 59	Ni 60	Cu 63	Zn 66	Ga 69
Nº	Plant name	Sample	Ti 48 (mg/kg)	V51 (mg/kg)	Cr 52 (mg/kg)	Mn 55 (mg/kg)	Fe 57 (mg/kg)	Co 59 (mg/kg)	Ni 60 (mg/kg)	Cu 63 (mg/kg)	Zn 66 (mg/kg)	Ga 69 (mg/kg)
<b>№</b>	Plant name R. confertus	Sample Leaf	Ti 48 (mg/kg) 3419.173	V51 (mg/kg) 1.273	Cr 52 (mg/kg) 15.331	Mn 55 (mg/kg) 55.252	Fe 57 (mg/kg) 2264.733	Co 59 (mg/kg) 0.791	Ni 60 (mg/kg) 28.691	Cu 63 (mg/kg) 11.816	Zn 66 (mg/kg) 37.829	Ga 69 (mg/kg) 1.383
<b>№</b> 1 2	Plant name R. confertus R. pamiricus	Sample Leaf Leaf	Ti 48 (mg/kg) 3419.173 45.107	V51 (mg/kg) 1.273 1.909	Cr 52 (mg/kg) 15.331 3.784	Mn 55 (mg/kg) 55.252 53.425	Fe 57 (mg/kg) 2264.733 2523.417	Co 59 (mg/kg) 0.791 0.660	Ni 60 (mg/kg) 28.691 3.521	Cu 63 (mg/kg) 11.816 9.803	Zn 66 (mg/kg) 37.829 28.792	Ga 69 (mg/kg) 1.383 1.354
<b>№</b> 1 2 3	Plant name R. confertus R. pamiricus R. aquaticus	Sample Leaf Leaf Root	<b>Ti 48</b> (mg/kg) <b>3419.173</b> 45.107 9.811	V51 (mg/kg) 1.273 1.909 0.880	Cr 52 (mg/kg) 15.331 3.784 2.369	Mn 55 (mg/kg) 55.252 53.425 15.241	Fe 57 (mg/kg) 2264.733 2523.417 424.191	Co 59 (mg/kg) 0.791 0.660 0.131	Ni 60 (mg/kg) 28.691 3.521 1.471	Cu 63 (mg/kg) 11.816 9.803 2.552	Zn 66 (mg/kg) 37.829 28.792 23.364	Ga 69 (mg/kg) 1.383 1.354 0.500
<b>№</b> 1 2 3 4	Plant name R. confertus R. pamiricus R. aquaticus R. pamiricus	Sample Leaf Leaf Root Root	Ti 48 (mg/kg) 3419.173 45.107 9.811 12.880	V51 (mg/kg) 1.273 1.909 0.880 0.788	Cr 52 (mg/kg) 15.331 3.784 2.369 3.079	Mn 55 (mg/kg) 55.252 53.425 15.241 6.734	Fe 57 (mg/kg) 2264.733 2523.417 424.191 471.660	Co 59 (mg/kg) 0.791 0.660 0.131 0.121	Ni 60 (mg/kg) 28.691 3.521 1.471 2.068	Cu 63 (mg/kg) 11.816 9.803 2.552 5.291	Zn 66 (mg/kg) 37.829 28.792 23.364 10.801	Ga 69 (mg/kg) 1.383 1.354 0.500 0.573
<b>№</b> 1 2 3 4 5	Plant name R. confertus R. pamiricus R. aquaticus R. pamiricus R.conglomeratus	Sample Leaf Leaf Root Root Root	Ti 48 (mg/kg) 3419.173 45.107 9.811 12.880 7.402	V51 (mg/kg) 1.273 1.909 0.880 0.788 0.568	Cr 52 (mg/kg) 15.331 3.784 2.369 3.079 2.613	Mn 55 (mg/kg) 55.252 53.425 15.241 6.734 4.455	Fe 57 (mg/kg) 2264.733 2523.417 424.191 471.660 1133.539	Co 59 (mg/kg) 0.791 0.660 0.131 0.121 0.181	Ni 60 (mg/kg) 28.691 3.521 1.471 2.068 2.844	Cu 63 (mg/kg) 11.816 9.803 2.552 5.291 3.042	Zn 66 (mg/kg) 37.829 28.792 23.364 10.801 9.897	Ga 69 (mg/kg) 1.383 1.354 0.500 0.573 0.882
<b>№</b> 1 2 3 4 5 6	Plant name R. confertus R. pamiricus R. aquaticus R. conglomeratus R. confertus	Sample Leaf Root Root Root Seed	Ti 48 (mg/kg) 3419.173 45.107 9.811 12.880 7.402 576.922	V51 (mg/kg) 1.273 1.909 0.880 0.788 0.568 0.185	Cr 52 (mg/kg) 15.331 3.784 2.369 3.079 2.613 2.091	Mn 55 (mg/kg) 55.252 53.425 15.241 6.734 4.455 6.072	Fe 57 (mg/kg) 2264.733 2523.417 424.191 471.660 1133.539 228.030	Co 59 (mg/kg) 0.791 0.660 0.131 0.121 0.181 0.192	Ni 60 (mg/kg) 28.691 3.521 1.471 2.068 2.844 1.703	Cu 63 (mg/kg) 11.816 9.803 2.552 5.291 3.042 2.845	Zn 66 (mg/kg) 37.829 28.792 23.364 10.801 9.897 7.237	Ga 69 (mg/kg) 1.383 1.354 0.500 0.573 0.882 0.299
<b>№</b> 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Plant name R. confertus R. pamiricus R. aquaticus R. pamiricus R. conglomeratus R. confertus R. aquaticus	Sample Leaf Leaf Root Root Seed Leaf	Ti 48       (mg/kg)       3419.173       45.107       9.811       12.880       7.402       576.922       62.585	V51 (mg/kg) 1.273 1.909 0.880 0.788 0.568 0.185 0.252	Cr 52 (mg/kg) 15.331 3.784 2.369 3.079 2.613 2.091 1.551	Mn 55 (mg/kg) 55.252 53.425 15.241 6.734 4.455 6.072 9.381	Fe 57 (mg/kg) 2264.733 2523.417 424.191 471.660 1133.539 228.030 336.786	Co 59 (mg/kg) 0.791 0.660 0.131 0.121 0.181 0.192 52.620	Ni 60 (mg/kg) 28.691 3.521 1.471 2.068 2.844 1.703 37.189	Cu 63 (mg/kg) 11.816 9.803 2.552 5.291 3.042 2.845 2.307	Zn 66 (mg/kg) 37.829 28.792 23.364 10.801 9.897 7.237 11.877	Ga 69 (mg/kg) 1.383 1.354 0.500 0.573 0.882 0.299 0.377
<b>№</b> 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Plant name R. confertus R. pamiricus R. aquaticus R. conglomeratus R. confertus R. aquaticus R. pamiricus	Sample Leaf Root Root Root Seed Leaf Seed	Ti 48       (mg/kg)       3419.173       45.107       9.811       12.880       7.402       576.922       62.585       16.495	V51 (mg/kg) 1.273 1.909 0.880 0.788 0.568 0.185 0.252 0.242	Cr 52 (mg/kg) 15.331 3.784 2.369 3.079 2.613 2.091 1.551 3.231	Mn 55 (mg/kg) 55.252 53.425 15.241 6.734 4.455 6.072 9.381 10.673	Fe 57 (mg/kg) 2264.733 2523.417 424.191 471.660 1133.539 228.030 336.786 2386.882	Co 59 (mg/kg) 0.791 0.660 0.131 0.121 0.181 0.192 52.620 0.134	Ni 60 (mg/kg) 28.691 3.521 1.471 2.068 2.844 1.703 37.189 2.661	Cu 63 (mg/kg) 11.816 9.803 2.552 5.291 3.042 2.845 2.307 12.774	Zn 66 (mg/kg) 37.829 28.792 23.364 10.801 9.897 7.237 11.877 105.777	Ga 69 (mg/kg) 1.383 1.354 0.500 0.573 0.882 0.299 0.377 0.360
<b>№</b> 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Plant name R. confertus R. pamiricus R. aquaticus R. pamiricus R. confertus R. aquaticus R. aquaticus R. pamiricus R. confertus	Sample Leaf Root Root Root Seed Leaf Seed Root	Ti 48       (mg/kg)       3419.173       45.107       9.811       12.880       7.402       576.922       62.585       16.495       6.897	V51 (mg/kg) 1.273 1.909 0.880 0.788 0.788 0.788 0.185 0.252 0.242 0.288	Cr 52 (mg/kg) 15.331 2.369 3.079 2.613 2.091 1.551 3.231 2.712	Mn 55 (mg/kg) 55.252 53.425 15.241 6.734 4.455 6.072 9.381 10.673 15.853	Fe 57 (mg/kg) 2264.733 2523.417 424.191 471.660 1133.539 228.030 336.786 2386.882 525.975	Co 59 (mg/kg) 0.791 0.660 0.131 0.121 0.181 0.192 52.620 0.134 0.116	Ni 60 (mg/kg) 28.691 3.521 1.471 2.068 2.844 1.703 37.189 2.661 1.631	Cu 63 (mg/kg) 11.816 9.803 2.552 5.291 3.042 2.845 2.307 12.774 5.027	Zn 66 (mg/kg) 37.829 28.792 23.364 10.801 9.897 7.237 11.877 11.877 105.777 21.753	Ga 69 (mg/kg) 1.383 1.354 0.500 0.573 0.882 0.299 0.377 0.360 0.693
<b>№</b> 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Plant name R. confertus R. pamiricus R. aquaticus R. conglomeratus R. confertus R. aquaticus R. pamiricus R. confertus R. confertus R. confertus	Sample Leaf Root Root Root Seed Leaf Seed Root Leaf	Ti 48 (mg/kg) 3419.173 45.107 9.811 12.880 7.402 576.922 62.585 16.495 6.897 372.860	V51 (mg/kg) 1.273 1.909 0.880 0.788 0.568 0.185 0.252 0.242 0.242 0.288 0.229	Cr 52 (mg/kg) 15.331 2.369 3.079 2.613 2.091 1.551 3.231 2.712 4.311	Mn 55 (mg/kg) 55.252 53.425 15.241 6.734 4.455 6.072 9.381 10.673 15.853 13.263	Fe 57 (mg/kg) 2264.733 2523.417 424.191 471.660 1133.539 228.030 336.786 2386.882 525.975 792.924	Co 59 (mg/kg) 0.791 0.660 0.131 0.121 0.181 0.192 52.620 0.134 0.116 0.116	Ni 60 (mg/kg) 28.691 3.521 1.471 2.068 2.844 1.703 37.189 2.661 1.631 3.455	Cu 63 (mg/kg) 11.816 9.803 2.552 5.291 3.042 2.845 2.307 12.774 5.027 5.274	Zn 66 (mg/kg) 37.829 28.792 23.364 10.801 9.897 7.237 11.877 105.777 21.753 15.366	Ga 69 (mg/kg) 1.383 1.354 0.500 0.573 0.882 0.299 0.377 0.360 0.693 0.693
Nº   1   2   3   4   5   6   7   8   9   10   11	Plant name R. confertus R. pamiricus R. aquaticus R. pamiricus R. confertus R. aquaticus R. pamiricus R. confertus R. confertus R. confertus R. confertus R. conglomeratus R. conglomeratus	Sample Leaf Root Root Seed Leaf Seed Root Leaf Seed	Ti 48 (mg/kg) 3419.173 45.107 9.811 12.880 7.402 576.922 62.585 16.495 6.897 372.860 28.022	V51 (mg/kg) 1.273 1.909 0.880 0.788 0.568 0.185 0.252 0.242 0.288 0.229 0.884	Cr 52 (mg/kg) 15.331 3.784 2.369 3.079 2.613 2.091 1.551 3.231 2.712 4.311 5.541	Mn 55 (mg/kg) 55.252 53.425 15.241 6.734 4.455 6.072 9.381 10.673 15.853 13.263 27.419	Fe 57 (mg/kg) 2264.733 2523.417 424.191 471.660 1133.539 228.030 336.786 2386.882 525.975 792.924 1228.178	Co 59 (mg/kg) 0.791 0.660 0.131 0.121 0.181 0.192 52.620 0.134 0.116 0.116 0.321	Ni 60 (mg/kg) 28.691 3.521 1.471 2.068 2.844 1.703 37.189 2.661 1.631 3.455 3.193	Cu 63 (mg/kg) 11.816 9.803 2.552 5.291 3.042 2.845 2.307 12.774 5.027 5.027 8.772	Zn 66 (mg/kg) 37.829 28.792 23.364 10.801 9.897 7.237 11.877 105.777 21.753 15.366 34.656	Ga 69 (mg/kg) 1.383 1.354 0.500 0.573 0.882 0.299 0.377 0.360 0.693 0.396 36.820

### **Table 1: Elemental composition results**

# DOI 10.17605/OSF.IO/E3VMU

Vol: 60 | Issue: 07 | 2023 G.D. Shermatova et al,. (2023)

NՉ	Plant name	Sample	Ge 74	As 75	Se 82	Rb 85	Sr 88	Zr 90	<u>Nb</u> 93	Mo 98	Ag 107	Cd 111
			(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)
1	R. confertus	Leaf	0.012	0.616	0.459	4.911	58.864	0.695	0.039	6.715	0.023	0.108
2	R. pamiricus	Leaf	0.010	0.573	0.249	7.792	44.231	0.507	0.064	1.099	0.037	0.108
3	R. aquaticus	Root	0.007	0.184	0.184	2.914	15.590	0.694	0.010	0.437	0.012	0.041
4	R. pamiricus	Root	0.004	0.096	0.354	1.408	30.701	0.329	0.005	0.762	0.011	0.020
5	R.conglomeratus		0.004	0.100	0.210	0.946	51.604	0.344	0.005	0.673	0.009	0.027
6	R. confertus	Seed	0.003	0.071	0.346	1.108	5.510	0.175	0.013	0.580	0.027	0.011
7	R. aquaticus	Leaf	0.004	0.151	0.187	4.157	7.020	0.271	0.014	0.324	0.060	0.031
8	R. pamiricus	Seed	0.006	0.173	0.302	2.510	6.390	0.240	0.007	0.509	0.043	0.044
9	R. confertus	Root	0.004	0.130	0.298	1.515	20.914	0.458	0.011	0.316	0.023	0.021
10	R.conglomeratus	Leaf	0.003	0.153	0.267	3.637	12.189	0.152	0.008	0.905	0.032	0.032
11	R.conglomeratus	Seed	0.008	0.417	0.349	2.205	30.560	0.740	0.029	0.838	0.062	0.132
12	R. aquaticus	Seed	0.022	0.298	0.181	4.526	10.383	0.190	0.022	1.321	0.024	0.151
Nº	Plant name	Sample	In115	Sn 118	Sb 121	Cs 133	Ba 138	Ta 181	W 184	Re 187	Hg 202	TI 205
			(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)
1	R. confertus	Leaf	0.001	3.147	0.129	0.043	24.499	0.001	0.465	0.003	0.215	0.002
2	R. pamiricus	Leaf	0.001	3.656	0.192	0.055	21.882	0.001	0.072	0.008	0.251	0.002
3	R. aquaticus	Root	0.000	3.127	0.081	0.013	8.045	0.000	0.023	0.000	0.022	0.001
4	R. pamiricus	Root	0.000	4.277	0.058	0.006	9.267	0.000	0.047	0.001	0.040	0.007
5	R.conglomeratus	Root	0.000	3.340	0.050	0.004	14.645	0.000	0.033	0.000	0.012	0.012
6	R. confertus	Seed	0.000	3.661	0.042	0.005	4.709	0.001	0.135	0.000	0.044	0.015
7	R. aquaticus	Leaf	0.000	2.607	0.727	0.006	5.802	0.001	0.030	0.001	0.021	0.011
8	R. pamiricus	Seed	0.000	4.896	0.109	0.015	5.107	0.001	0.034	0.002	0.299	0.012
9	R. confertus	Root	0.000	2.784	0.039	0.008	10.636	0.001	0.029	0.000	0.004	0.012
10	R.conglomeratus	Leaf	0.001	3.239	0.068	0.006	5.980	0.000	0.068	0.001	0.148	0.012
11	R.conglomeratus	Seed	0.000	4.696	0.132	0.025	1021.966	0.002	0.074	0.000	0.468	0.009
									0.000	0.000	0.405	0.040

### **Table 2: Elemental composition results**

As a result Table-1,2, in the leaves of *R. confertus* Na, Mg, Al, Si, P, K, Ca, Ti, Fe, in the roots Na, Mg, Al, Si, P, K, Ca, Fe, in the seeds Na, Mg, Si, P, K, Ca, Ti, Fe. In the leaves of *R. pamiricus* Na, Mg, Al, Si, P, K, Ca, Fe, in the roots Na, Mg, Al, Si, P, K, Ca, Fe, in the seeds Na, Mg, Al, Si, P, K, Ca, Fe, in the leaves of *R. aquaticus* Na, Mg, Al, Si, P, K, Ca, Fe in the roots Na, Mg, Al, Si, P, K, Ca, Fe, in the seeds Na, Mg, Al, Si, P, K, Ca, Fe, in the seeds Na, Mg, Al, Si, P, K, Ca, Fe. In the leaves of *R. aquaticus* Na, Mg, Al, Si, P, K, Ca, Fe in the roots Na, Mg, Al, Si, P, K, Ca, Fe, in the seeds Na, Mg, Al, Si, P, K, Ca, Fe, in the seeds Na, Mg, Al, Si, P, K, Ca, Fe. In the leaves of *R. conglomeratus* Na, Mg, Al, Si, P, K, Ca, Ti, Fe, in the roots Na, Mg, Al, Si, P, K, Ca, Fe, in the seeds Na, Mg, Al, Si, P, K, Ca, Fe, in the seeds Na, Mg, Al, Si, P, K, Ca, Fe, in the roots Na, Mg, Al, Si, P, K, Ca, Fe, in the roots Na, Mg, Al, Si, P, K, Ca, Fe, in the roots Na, Mg, Al, Si, P, K, Ca, Fe, in the roots Na, Mg, Al, Si, P, K, Ca, Fe, in the roots Na, Mg, Al, Si, P, K, Ca, Fe, in the roots Na, Mg, Al, Si, P, K, Ca, Fe, in the roots Na, Mg, Al, Si, P, K, Ca, Fe, in the roots Na, Mg, Al, Si, P, K, Ca, Fe, Ba. This macro and micro elements were the highest content than other elements.

These elements are of high biological significance for human health [22].

	Table 3: Heavy	y metals elemental	composition results
--	----------------	--------------------	---------------------

Nº	Plant name	Sample	Pb	Bi	U
			208 (mg/kg)	209 (mg/kg)	238 (mg/kg)
1	R. confertus	Leaf	5.619	0.023	0.084
2	R. pamiricus	Leaf	6.888	0.019	0.221
3	R. aquaticus	Root	3.036	0.013	0.091
4	R. pamiricus	Root	1.201	0.007	0.107
5	R. conglomeratus	Root	1.219	0.011	0.072
6	R. confertus	Seed	0.571	0.008	0.038
7	R. aquaticus	Leaf	1.928	33.338	0.043
8	R. pamiricus	Seed	20.726	1.793	0.063
9	R. confertus	Root	1.291	0.054	0.027
10	R. conglomeratus	Leaf	2.608	0.017	0.036
11	R. conglomeratus	Seed	10.055	0.123	0.073
12	R. aquaticus	Seed	3.003	0.039	0.049

# Hunan Daxue Xuebao/Journal of Hunan University Natural Sciences ISSN : 1674-2974 | CN 43-1061 / N

#### DOI 10.17605/OSF.IO/E3VMU Vol: 60 | Issue: 07 | 2023 G.D. Shermatova et al,. (2023)

According to the results of the third table, Pb in the leaves of *R. confertus* is 5.619 mg/kg, in the roots 1.291 mg/kg, in the seeds 0.571 mg/kg. Pb in the leaves of *R. pamiricus* is 6.888 mg/kg, in the roots 1.201 mg/kg, in the seeds 20.726 mg/kg. *R. aquaticus* leaves Bi- 33.338 mg/kg, roots Pb- 3.036 mg/kg, seeds 3.003 mg/kg. organized. Also, Pb in *R. conglomeratus* leaves is 2.608 mg/kg, in the roots is 1.219 mg/kg, and in the seeds is 10.055 mg/kg.

### 4. CONCLUSION

Thus, studies on the quantitative content of the macro- and microelements in the leaves, roots and seeds of some *Rumex* species by the ICP-MS analysis have shown that most elements their similarity was noted, which indicates the commonality of the metabolic processes in plants of related species and characterized by a unique and valuable elemental complex (Table 1,2).

### References

- 1. Shermatova G.D., Bobakulov Kh.M., Shamuratov B.A., Mavlyanov S.M., Zhang Y.J., Eshbakova K.A., Azimova Sh.S., Sasmakov S.A. Phenolic Compounds of *Rumex* L: Aerial Part Fractions and Essential Oil Results of *In vitro* Screening for Antimicrobial Activity. *Chemical Science International Journal (Past name: American Chemical Science Journal)*, 2022, vol. 31 (1), pp. 15-25. DOI: 10.9734/CSJI/2022/v31i130273.
- 2. Shermatova G. Emodin, an anthraquinone derivative from *Rumex pamiricus* Rech. f. *Universum: chemistry and biology,* 2022, vol. 3 (93), pp. 28-31. URL: https://www.7universum.com/ru/nature/archive/item/13065.
- 3. Shermatova G.D., Shamuratov B.A. Flavonoids of *Rumex pamiricus. Bulletin of National University of Uzbekistan*, 2013, vol. 4 (2), pp. 232-233. (in Uzb.).
- 4. Kołodziejek J. Growth performance and emergence of invasive alien *Rumex confertus* in different soil types. *Scientific Reports*, 2019, vol. 9 (1), pp. 1–13. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-019-56068-9.
- 5. Kholmatov Kh.Kh., Khabibov Z.Kh., Olimkhodzhayeva N.Z. *Lekarstvennyye rasteniya Uzbekistana* [Medicinal plants of Uzbekistan]. Tashkent, 1991, pp. 93–94. (in Uzb.).
- 6. Podgurskaya V.V., Luksha Ye.A., Gushchina Ye.S., Savchenko I.A., Korneyeva I.N., Kalinkina G.I. Biological activity of the genus *Rumex* (*Polygonaceae*) plants. *Khimiya rastitel'nogo syr'ya*, 2021, no. 2, pp. 59–78. DOI: 10.14258/jcprm.2021027498. (In Russ.).
- 7. Shermatova G.D., Rakhimova Sh.Kh., Komilov B.J., Abdul-Azizovich B.M. Protein content of Some *Rumex* Species (*Polygonaceae*). *Austrian Journal of Technical and Natural Sciences*, 2022, vol. 1 (2), pp. 3-7. DOI.org/10.29013/AJT-22-1.2-3-7.
- 8. Shermatova G.D., Eshbakova K.A., Narbutaeva D.A., Karakulova A.M. Antioxidant and antihypoxic activity of emodin and chrysophanol. *Austrian Journal of Technical and Natural Sciences*. 2022, vol. 3 (4), pp. 11-13. DOI.org/10.29013/AJT-22-3.4-11-13.
- 9. Shermatova G.D., Shamuratov B.A., Mavlyanov S.M. Tannins from *Rumex confertus* Willd. *Uzbekskiy khimicheskiy zhurnal*, 2012, no. 3, pp. 11–13. (In Uzb.).
- Jeelani S.M., Farooq U., Gupta A.P., Lattoo S. K. Phytochemical evaluation of major bioactive compounds in different cytotypes of five species of *Rumex* L. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 2017, no. 109, pp. 897–904. DOI: 10.1016/j.indcrop.2017.09.015.
- 11. Bello O.M., Fasinu P.S., Bello O.E., Ogbesejana A.B., Adetunji C.O., Dada A.O., Ibitoye O.S., Aloko S., Oguntoye O.S. Wild vegetable *Rumex acetosa* Linn. Its ethnobotany, pharmacology and phytochemistry. A review. *South African Journal of Botany*, 2019, vol. 125, pp. 149–160. DOI.org/10.1016/j.sajb.2019.04.018.
- 12. Vasas A., Orbán-Gyapai O., Hohmann J. The Genus *Rumex*: Review of traditional uses, phytochemistry and pharmacology. J. *Ethnopharmacol*, 2015, vol. 175, pp. 198–228. DOI.org/10.1016/j.jep.2015.09.001.

DOI 10.17605/OSF.IO/E3VMU Vol: 60 | Issue: 07 | 2023 G.D. Shermatova et al,. (2023)

- 13. Shermatova G.D., Bobakulov Kh.M., Nishanbaev S.Z., Rakhmatov Kh.A. Chemical Composition of Essential Oils *Rumex Confertus* Willd. and *Rumex Pamiricus* Rech. f. growing In Uzbekistan. *Khimiya Rastitel'nogo Syr'ya*, 2022, no. 2, pp. 121–128. (in Russ.). DOI: 10.14258/jcprm.2022029942.
- 14. Li Y., Jiang J.G. Health functions and structure-activity relationships of natural anthraquinones from plants. *Food Funct*, 2018, vol. 9 (12), pp. 6063–6080. DOI. 10.1039/c8fo01569d.
- 15. Diaz-Munoz G., Miranda I.L., Sartori S.K., de Rezende D.C., Diaz M.A.N. Chapter 11-Anthraquinones: An overview. In Studies in Natural Products Chemistry; Atta-ur-Rahman. *Elsevier*, 2018, vol. 58, pp. 313–338.
- 16. Pinela J., Carvalho A.M,. Ferreira I.C.F.R. Wild edible plants: Nutritional and toxicological characteristics, retrieval strategies and importance for today's society. *Food Chem. Toxicol*, 2017, vol. 110, pp. 165–188. DOI: 10.1016/j.fct.2017.10.020.
- 17. Upton R., Graff A., Jolliffe G., Länger R., Williamson E. American Herbal Pharmacopoeia: Botanical Pharmacognosy-Microscopic Characterization of Botanical Medicines. *CRC Press*, Boca Raton, FL, USA. 2016, ISBN 1420073281.
- 18. Emshanova S.V., Potanina O.G., Budanova E.V., Chistyakov V.V. (Eds.). Gosudarstvennaya Farmakopeya Rossiyskoy Federatsii XIV izdaniye. *In* [*State Pharmacopoeia of the Russian Federation XIV edition*]. Ministry of Health of Russian Federation. Moscow, Russia. 2018, 4, p.1844.
- 19. Polyakov A., Alekseeva T., Muravieva I. The elemental composition of garlic (*Allium sativum L*.) and its variability. E3S Web of Conferences 175, 01016 (2020) *Interagromash* 2020. https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202017501016.
- 20. Shermatova G.D., Eshbakova K.A. Determination of tannin content of *Rumex confertus* Willd and *Rumex pamiricus* Rech. f. plants by using standard method. *Austrian Journal of Technical and Natural Sciences*. 2022, 5/6, 66-67. DOI.org/10.29013/AJT-22-5.6-66-67.
- 21. Matchanov A., Artikova G. Micro- and macronutrient composition of vegetative organs of the plants *Eleagnus Angustifolia* growing in saline soils of the Aral Sea region. *Universum: chemistry and biology*, 2019, vol. 3 (57), pp. 40-43. (in Russ.).
- 22. Abayomi Yu., Fagbuaro S.S., S.O.K. Fajemilehin. *Journal of Bioscience and Biotechnology Discovery*, 2018, vol. 3 (5), pp. 105-109. https://doi.org/10.31248/JBBD2018.073 ISSN 2536-7064.