

ECONOMIC POLICY FOR COUNTRY'S DIGITALIZATION: A CASE STUDY*

Valentina Ivanova¹, Andrey Poltarykhin^{*2}, Andrzej Szromnik³, Olga Anichkina¹

¹K.G. Razumovsky Moscow State University of technologies and management (the First Cossack University) (RAZUMOVSKY MSUTM (FCU)), 109004, st. Ground Val, 73, Moscow, Russia

²Plekhanov Russian University of Economics, 117997, Stremyannyi Alley, 36, Moscow, Russia

³Cracow University of Economics, 31-510, st. Rakowicka 27, Krakow, Poland

E-mails: ^{2*} <u>*Poltarykhin@mail.ru</u> (corresponding author)*</u>

Received 15 March 2019; accepted 20 July 2019; published 30 September 2019

Abstract. The theoretical foundations for the development of the digital economy and e-government are considered in this article. The main objectives of Russia's transition to the digital economy are listed. The relevance of the development of the digital government concept is considered. The indicators of the current level of state development in the framework of digitalization and the formation of e-government are analyzed. The main problems, the solution of which makes the implementation of the digital government project of the Russian Federation possible, are singled out. Digital economy development is associated not only with the progress of the information technology and innovation industry, but also with the improvement of the labor market, where new jobs, professions and personnel are created. In this regard, there is a rapid process of the foundation of society, where one job becomes low-paid, and new professions allow one to receive a personal income at the level of top managers of small and medium-sized enterprises.

Keywords: digital economy; digital government; Russian economy; e-government

Reference to this paper should be made as follows: Ivanova, V.; Poltarykhin, A.; Szromnik, A.; Anichkina, O. 2019. Economic policy for country's digitalization: A case study, *Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Issues* 7(1): 649-661. <u>http://doi.org/10.9770/jesi.2019.7.1(46)</u>

JEL Classifications: O33; O38; J21

^{*}Russian Foundation for basic research (rfbr) for support in the framework of the research project: Russian middle classes: theoretical and methodological bases of identification, social standards of identification, evaluation and increased number (No. 16-02-00533) "agreement No. 16-02-00533/16 from May 12, 2016 onwards.

1. Introduction

The modern world cannot be imagined without the extensive use of information technologies, which have facilitated the commercial activities of enterprises exponentially while the management system has been made more perfect (Perpelyak and Salomatina, 2014). It is for this reason that research in the field of "digital economy" has a high level of relevance since it analyzes a new direction of economic theory and practice.

Based on research in the modern society development, Decree of the President of the Russian Federation of 09.05.2017 No. 203 entered into force the Strategy for the Development of the Information Society in the Russian Federation for 2017-2030 (hereinafter the Information Society Strategy). The goal of the Information Society Strategy is to create conditions for the knowledge society formation in the Russian Federation. This normative legal act is designed to promote: human potential development, safety and security of the citizens and the state, improvement of the Russia's role in the global humanitarian and cultural space, improvement of the efficiency of the government, development of the economy and social sphere, as well as formation of the digital economy (Asaliev et al., 2014; Korableva et al., 2018).

2. Methods

The Information Society Strategy is a long-term regulatory legal act. The goal-setting within the framework of long-term planning is aimed primarily at the stability improvement of the economic situation within the state.

Digital economy is an activity in which production key factors are the data presented in digital form, and their processing and using in large volumes improve the efficiency, quality and productivity in various types of production, technology, equipment, during storage, sale, delivery and the consumption of goods and services (Perpelyak & Salomatina, 2014).

A cosmopolitan diffusion of digital technologies in the economy and society since the end of 20th century resulted in a situation where the experts have begun to discuss the digital revolution, leading to large-scale and radical transformations of many aspects of business, providing unprecedented opportunities and penetrating all fields of global economy (Smirnova & Rudenko, 2017; Korableva et al., 2017; Akhmetshin et al., 2017; Kovalenko et al., 2018a; 2018b). In many ways, these transformations are due to the digital technology properties, namely:

- ✓ high quality, rapidity, inerrancy and reliability of transmission, storage and processing of digital signals (accuracy, inerrancy, safe-keeping and integrity, high image quality);
- ✓ flexibility a wide range of types of information with which digital technologies work (texts, numbers, photo, audio, video);
- ✓ the possibility of endless reproduction of the signal (information) without compromising its quality (for example, a display of a page on the Internet);
- ✓ zero (minimum) signal transmission marginal costs within the network structure;
- ✓ ease of use, user-friendliness, flexibility and convenience of interfaces, the development of a variety of services for consumers (for example, various screen formats, resolutions, picture size, etc.);
- ✓ integrability of different systems, since digital technology uses the communication between the devices based on standardized protocols. This allows one to build flexible multi-level integrated systems.

The digital economy development is observed in almost all countries of the world, including Russia. For example, according to the state programs for the development of the national economy of the Russian Federation in the framework of the transition to a digital economy, the following strategic goals are set (Draft of the digital economy program, 2018; Andieva and Filchakova, 2018; Carothers, 2018): the growth of public involvement in

ISSN 2345-0282 (online) <u>http://jssidoi.org/jesi/</u> 2019 Volume 7 Number 1 (September) <u>http://doi.org/10.9770/jesi.2019.7.1(46)</u>

the digital economy (Kamolov, 2019; Mikhailushkin et al., 2018); the creation of a market infrastructure, ensuring the development of information technologies and interaction of the digital economy subjects; cost reduction in the interaction of the following subjects "citizens – state – business"; improvement of the competitiveness level of the economy (Sadriev & Mullakhmetov, 2016; Kamolov, 2017; Chernopyatov et al., 2018; Khairutdinov et al., 2018; Oumlil & Juiz, 2018).

Undoubtedly, a positive factor, contributing to the widespread of digital technologies is the rapid decline in prices for digital devices with the equally rapid expansion of their functionality. The ability of digital devices to operate for years without service is also important.

Digital technologies are becoming not just a tool for implementing the strategy of modernizing public administration (Nechaev et al., 2018; Krasyuk et al., 2018), but also largely determine the direction of change. If in the early stages, states seek to maximize the share of public services available in electronic form, then as digital transformation proceeds, the composition of public services will change and the number of types of services provided will decrease. Similarly, the popular idea of "state as a platform" is not relevant for the stages of fully digital and "smart government" (Table 1).

Parameter	E-government	Open government	Data centric government	Fully digital ruler	"Clever ruler
	Initiation	Development	Definition	property	property
Priority aspects	Performance requirements, efficiency	Transparency and Subject control		Optimization	
The main channel for the provision of public services	Government services portal	Public administration as a platform	Non-state	Transformation	Resilience
Core technology	Service	Open data, open services	state Using different channels channels		Automation replaces portals
Performance Indicators	Orienteering	The share of open data in total data	Opening all data	Things like data	Smart machines (robotization)

Table 1. Maturity stages of digital government: from e-government to "smart government" (according to Gartner's classification)

Source: according to Gartner's classification

An analysis of the maturity stages of digital government proposed by Gartner's experts shows that an important sign of digital transformation is not only changing the way government functions (public services) are implemented, including processes and subprocesses performed when performing public functions and government services, but and their understanding and composition. We illustrate these considerations on the example of some types of state functions (services) (Fig. 1).

ISSN 2345-0282 (online) <u>http://jssidoi.org/jesi/</u> 2019 Volume 7 Number 1 (September) <u>http://doi.org/10.9770/jesi.2019.7.1(46)</u>

As it is

- A citizen applies for a public service (to the authority, to the MFC, to the portal) and receives the result of the service;

- Document = paper;

- The organization reports to the tax authorities, extra-budgetary funds, statistics authorities, etc., incl. in electronic form through "digital intermediaries":

- The organization independently records the data of production control, which is the subject of verification;

- Development of public policy - rule-making departments as a reaction to high-profile cases, formal consultations.

How can

- State services are presented by default upon the occurrence of life events;
- Document = entry in the registry;

- The accounting program automatically reports to the authorities;

- Documentary checks are carried out in an autonomous (automatic) mode;

- Remote control and autonomous correction in case of detection of violations (for example, overemissions);

- Continuous adaptation of state regulation, taking into account the needs of the final recipients and assessing the effect of the implemented policy.

Fig. 1. Examples of changes in public administration based on digitalization

Source: Baranova, 2017

Thus, based on the above analysis, under the digital transformation we propose to understand the qualitative change based on the digitization of the content of public administration, including its individual procedures, stages of the management cycle, public functions and their types, leading to an increase in the quality of public administration. At the same time, the quality of public administration is understood as its compliance with three key criteria — validity, effectiveness and efficiency.

Digital technologies can significantly transform the processes of monitoring and evaluating the results achieved. In this sense, international initiatives on the use of "big data" for official statistics (including as an alternative to the traditionally used methods) are of interest. In 2017, under the UN Department of Statistics, a Global Working Group on "Big Data" was created to develop a strategic vision, direction and global program on the use of "big data" for official statistics, to support the practical use of "big data" sources for statistical purposes and develop solutions to the challenges associated with their use, as well as support capacity development and the exchange of experience on this issue.

The Global Working Group includes twenty countries and nine international organizations. In 2015-2017 Global Working Group has carried out significant work on the collection and systematization of information Russia is not a member of the Global Working Group on projects aimed at using "big data" in official statistics. The corresponding database is published on the website of the organization.

Thus, the technologies of the Internet of Things and "big data" make it possible to use fundamentally new data sources beyond the limits of traditional statistics and administrative data of agencies for monitoring the results of public policy implementation. Digital platforms are often used for monitoring and evaluating the effectiveness and efficiency of state authorities in foreign practice, especially in terms of monitoring and assessing the quality of public services.

ISSN 2345-0282 (online) <u>http://jssidoi.org/jesi/</u> 2019 Volume 7 Number 1 (September) <u>http://doi.org/10.9770/jesi.2019.7.1(46)</u>

3. Results

In order to implement the state program "Information Society (2011–2020)" in 2014, the following main activities were defined in the Ministry of Economy and Development of Russia:

- formation of an automated information system "Federal Register of State and Municipal Services (functions)";

- evaluation of the activities of state and municipal authorities on the transfer of services into electronic form, monitoring of provided state and municipal services in electronic form;

- development and maintenance of the functioning of automated processes for the provision of state and municipal services;

- development of monitoring to identify the quality of the provision of state and municipal services through the information and analytical system;

- development of the open data portal in the Russian Federation, methodological assistance of state and municipal authorities to provide access to open data;

- development of an automated information system within the framework of the state programs of the Russian Federation, which ensures the openness of the activities of state and municipal authorities;

- development of the methodology of the state automated information system "Management";

development of information systems that are aimed at supporting small and medium-sized businesses.

The trends that undoubtedly affect e-government include the increased importance of knowledge sharing and interoperability, transformation in service delivery and integration, flexible organizational structure and ubiquity. If we apply these effects to the four functions of e-government, we get the following picture of new trends (Fig. 2).



Fig.2. Factors and development trends of e-government

Source: Baranova, 2017

ENTREPRENEURSHIP AND SUSTAINABILITY ISSUES ISSN 2345-0282 (online) <u>http://jssidoi.org/jesi/</u> 2019 Volume 7 Number 1 (September) <u>http://doi.org/10.9770/jesi.2019.7.1(46)</u>

Currently, the Government of the Russian Federation is still far from the implementation of its project on adaptation to digital space. Accordingly, in terms of Competitiveness in the field of e-government, the country ranks 35^{th} in the world ranking. The rating is even worse in terms of Digital competitiveness, – the 42^{nd} place (Kosorukov, 2017).



Fig. 3. The share of Russian citizens, using the mechanism of electronic access to state and municipal services in 2013-2017, %

Source: Fedstat, 2018

At the same time, a positive trend in the number of citizens using the mechanism for obtaining state and municipal services through the electronic form can be observed (Figure 1).

Consider examples of a comparative nature in the formation of e-government activities in the countries of near and far abroad. The most authoritative, complete and stable among the existing e-government development level ratings is the OOH Global E-Government Survey (Global E-Government Survey) rating, which has been published since 2003 (Andreeva, 2017).

It is based on the e-government development index. The country's e-government development index is calculated as the result of averaging three private indices: the level of development of online services, infrastructure, and human capital. In addition, the UN also calculates the e-participation index (e-participation), the results of which do not affect the e-government development indicator. Table 2 shows the figures for the e-government index (1) and the e-participation index (2) in the UN global reports of Russia, Kazakhstan, Belarus, Ukraine and Armenia.

ISSN 2345-0282 (online) <u>http://jssidoi.org/jesi/</u> 2019 Volume 7 Number 1 (September)

http://doi.org/10.9770/jesi.2019.7.1(46)

UN Global Reports	Russian Federation		Kazakhstan		Armenia		Republic of Belarus		Ukraine	
	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2
2004	58	91	83	69	86	91	81	102	54	24
2006	52	41	69	59	83	97	58	32	45	24
2008	50	61	65	47	106	83	51	36	48	28
2010	60	98	81	98	103	135	56	98	41	14
2012	59	86	46	18	110	135	64	51	54	48
2014	27	19	38	3	94	161	61	109	68	83
2016	27	30	28	22	61	59	55	92	87	77
2018	35	32	33	67	87	84	49	76	62	32

Table 2. UN Global Reports of e-government of Russia and neighboring countries

Source:	according t	o own	research
---------	-------------	-------	----------

Enhancing citizen feedback, providing electronic services to them, creating public service portals for providing online transactions on a "single window" principle, as well as unified information and reference support systems for citizens and organizations is an incomplete list of the global trend of e-government development (Baranova, 2017).

The components of e-government in the United States are state online services, the most popular of which are income tax registration, licensing requests for fishing and hunting, renewal of professional licenses, transfer of employment information, registration of complaints about organizations, and public loan requests. E-learning provides learning opportunities to federal institutions.

In 2012, the UN published the results of a study of the countries of the world over the previous two years, a review entitled "E-Government Review 2012. E-Government for People" ("United Nations E-Government Survey 2012"). According to the main results of this study, the Republic of Korea is the world leader in the field of e-government (e-government index for this country is 0.9283), then the Netherlands is located (0.9125), the United Kingdom (0.8960) and Denmark (0.8889). The groups of leaders are followed by countries such as the USA, Canada, France, Norway, Singapore and Sweden.

The main reason for digital inequality is the lack of information and communication infrastructure, which impedes the use of information and knowledge.

Nevertheless, the expectations of citizens and businesses, which are formed on the basis of the experience of a wider use of technology, as well as new goals set by the leading countries in the framework of digital government development strategies, indicate not only that it is necessary to bring to the logical end all the ongoing activities but also to look into the future and outline the transition to the development and implementation of the next phase of the strategy, namely the strategy of transition to digital government (Digital Government 2020, Poltarykhin et al., 2018).

4. Discussion

At the present stage of development of the digital government in Russia, the practical implementation of the principle of designing digital services by default, reorienting the multifunctional centers and other service centers to train citizens to perform the operations online without the need to maintain a personal presence mode is important (Prodanova et al, 2019b). The government should provide for the possibility to use the mobile devices to carry out transactions from beginning to end when each new state or municipal service is converted into electronic form. For the successful implementation of the principle of the provision of digital services by default, the government services in digital format should be attractive and easy to use for the vast majority of citizens. It is necessary to change the government's approach to the development of new public services, orienting it to the user, in respect of which the government acts as a whole and takes into account its key needs.

The development strategy of digital government should integrate the departmental systems for rendering state and municipal services within a single portal the infrastructure of which will provide a number of common services, including an identification and authentication system, a payment system, a support for SMS gateways, and the integration of personal data, addresses and contact information (Kirillova et al., 2018). The integration of digital services with the user-orientation within a single portal will help to solve the problem of lack of funds and specialists required for the development of different systems in numerous departments, including regional and municipal levels of government (Ilyina et al., 2019; Polyakova et al., 2018; Koptev et al., 2019; Voronkova et al., 2018a; 2018b; Nagimov et al., 2018).

Thus, the following tasks and recommendations on the development of the digital government of the Russian Federation are formed:

- ✓ it is necessary to force the events and create a program project for the implementation of the digital government of the country until 2021;
- ✓ to build new infrastructure elements for creating a digital space for state and municipal government;
- \checkmark to create a more comfortable institutional environment for the operation of the digital space;
- ✓ to accelerate the implementation of the Russian Digital Economy project, including the points regarding interaction and communication between society and the government, as well as between business and the government;
- ✓ to pay a great deal of attention to a solution of the problem of digital inclusion, taking into account the scale of the territory of Russia and its demographic characteristics.

Conclusions

In conclusion, it should be noted that Russia has accumulated quite positive experience in e-government creation, including the interdepartmental information exchange systems – the Unified Interdepartmental Information and Statistical System and the Interdepartmental Electronic Document Management System that facilitate the transition to a more advanced level of information exchange between various government departments. However, the construction of a full-fledged digital government requires a transition to the state sharing infrastructure, launching a unified state cloud platform to provide the services able to improve the quality and security of interaction between departments, provide financial savings by refraining from creating duplicate infrastructures in individual departments or regions. The government can also support the development and implementation of the most popular sharing applications by various government departments on the basis of cloud technologies, supporting the virtualization of workplaces, digital mail and workflow, workflow processes management, infrastructure monitoring etc. Instead of the creation of own systems, the regional government bodies and local governments should be given the opportunity to receive high-quality digital services they need from state cloud resources. Such a system will provide standardized and scalable platforms for the creation of new digital services and, over time, will allow the integration of existing state information systems into a common state resource for computation and data storage. In addition to these services, government cloud resources can become a platform

ISSN 2345-0282 (online) <u>http://jssidoi.org/jesi/</u> 2019 Volume 7 Number 1 (September) http://doi.org/10.9770/jesi.2019.7.1(46)

for the operation of a wide variety of digital service providers, including the innovative companies that will offer their products and services to the regions and municipalities.

Thus, the development of the digital government of the Russian Federation is a strategically important objective for the current socio-economic growth of our state. Taking into account Russia's current position in the global competitiveness ranking of the digital economy, it is necessary to increase the funding and to allocate the budget funds to create a market infrastructure to support the digitalization of business, public services and management. Moreover, given the increasing demand of the citizens of the country for the provision of services through electronic channels – this indicates the relevance of this problem for society as well. Besides, it is through the creation of a digital government that many institutional and fundamental factors for the development of the shadow sector, corruption and bureaucracy within the government can be solved.

References

A draft digital economy program will be presented at SPIEF. Retrieved from <u>http://fond83.ru/novosti/federalnye-novosti/637-proekt-programmy-tsifrovoj-ekonomiki-rf-budet-predstavlen-na-pmef</u>

Akhmetshin, E. M. 2017. The System of Internal Control as a Factor in the Integration of the Strategic and Innovation Dimensions of a Company's Development. Journal of Advanced Research in Law and Economics, 8(6): 1684-1692. https://doi.org/10.14505/jarle.v8.6(28).03

Andieva, E.Yu, Filchakova, V.D. 2016. Digital economy of the future. Industry 4.0. Applied Mathematics and Fundamental Informatics, 3, 214-218.

Andreeva I.N. State and Municipal Administration: Textbook. Part I, II. M.: Gardariki, 2017. - 652s.

Arkhipova, Z.V. 2016. Transformation of "Electronic Government" into "Digital Government". News of the BSU, 5.

Asaliev, A. M., Zhuravlev, P. V., & Alkhimenko, O. N. (2014). Intellectual capital management as the aspect of upgrading of modern companies management. Life Science Journal, 11(SPEC. ISSUE 11), 363-366.

Baranova I.N. Information technology of public administration. M.: News, 2017. 428p.

Carothers, D. (2018). A culture of equality?. Journal of Culture and Values in Education, 1(2), 42-57. Retrieved from http://cultureandvalues.org/index.php/JCV/article/view/13

Chernopyatov, A., et al. 2018. Entrepreneurship development and business activity in the Russian Federation. Journal of Entrepreneurship Education, 21(4)

Digital Government 2020. Prospects for Russia. Retrieved from http://www.iis.ru/docs/DigitalGovernmentRussia2020RUS.pdf

Dmitrieva, I. S., Sharafutdinov, R. I., Gerasimov, V. O., & Pavlov, S. V. 2017. Method evaluation of the human capital with its innovational potential consideration and perspectives of regional development: The example of the Republic of Tatarstan and Volga Federal District regions. Espacios, 38(40)

Goloshchapova, L. V., Plaskova, N. S., Prodanova, N. A., Yusupova, S. Y., & Pozdeeva, S. N. (2018). Analytical review of risks of loss of profits in cargo transportation. International Journal of Mechanical Engineering and Technology, 9(11), 1897-1902.

Gurieva, L. K., Savicheva, A. N., Kataeva(Sventa Yarvik), V. I., & Norkina, A. N. 2016. Theoretical foundations of management of the organization: Development, types of structures, management methods of control. International Business Management, 10(22), 5406-5416. https://doi.org/10.3923/ibm.2016.5406.5416

ISSN 2345-0282 (online) <u>http://jssidoi.org/jesi/</u> 2019 Volume 7 Number 1 (September) <u>http://doi.org/10.9770/jesi.2019.7.1(46)</u>

Ibatullin, R. R., Gapsalamov, A. R., Vasilev, V. L., & Bakhvalov, S. Y. 2019. Audiovisual aids application in the secondary-level vocational education establishments: efficiency analysis and assessment. International Journal of Educational Management, 33(2), 1-20. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJEM-02-2018-0082

Ibidunni, A.S., et al. 2018. Examining the moderating effect of entrepreneurs' demographic characteristics on strategic entrepreneurial orientations and competitiveness of SMEs. Journal of Entrepreneurship Education, 21(2)

Ilyina, I., Kulibanova, V., & Teor, T. 2019. Special aspects of master data-based integrated management of region reputation in modern IT environment. Paper presented at the IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, 497(1) <u>https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/497/1/012022</u>

Kamolov, S. G. 2017. Digital public governance: Trends and risks. Giornale Di Storia Costituzionale, 33(1), 185-194.

Kamolov, S. G., Artemova, P. V., & Berezko, V. E. 2019. Shaping the systems of digital public governance of the digital economy in Russia and abroad. Studies in Computational Intelligence, 826, 435-442. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-13397-9_51</u>

Kirillova, E. A., Pavlyuk, A. V., Mikhaylova, I. A., Zulfugarzade, T. E., & Zenin, S. S. 2018. Bitcoin, lifecoin, namecoin: The legal nature of virtual currency. Journal of Advanced Research in Law and Economics, 9(1), 119-126. <u>https://doi.org/10.14505/jarle.v9.1(31).16</u>

Koptev V.Yu., Kopteva A.V., Poddubniy D.A. 2019. Increase in Energy Efficiency of Oil and Gas Companies by Perfecting of Management Systems. Proceedings of the 2019 IEEE Conference of Russian Young Researchers in Electrical and Electronic Engineering, ElConRus, pp. 548-552. <u>https://doi.org/10.1109/ElConRus.2019.8657041</u>

Korableva, O. N., Kalimullina, O. V., Zaytseva, A. A., & Larionov, A. I. (2018). Elaboration of database for the subject domain of innovation and economic growth potential. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 31st International Business Information Management Association Conference, IBIMA 2018: Innovation Management and Education Excellence through Vision 2020, 6065-6073.

Korableva, O.N., Gorelov, N.A., & Shulha, M.V. 2017. Risk component of innovation management strategy. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the European Conference on Innovation and Entrepreneurship, ECIE, 2017-September 837-843.

Kosorukov, A.A. 2017. Digital government in the practice of modern public administration (on the example of the Russian Federation). Trends and management, 4.

Kosorukov, O. A., & Maksimov, D. A. (2016). Methods of assessing efficiency of wildfire monitoring systems functioning. Research Journal of Pharmaceutical, Biological and Chemical Sciences, 7(6), 517-529.

Kovalenko, K. E., Goloshchapova, L. V., Polyakova, A. G., Erzinkyan, E. A., & Murzagalina, G. M. 2018a. Approaches to social entrepreneurship in russia and foreign countries. Journal of Entrepreneurship Education, 21(Special Issue 2)

Kovalenko, K. E., Ling, V. V., Erzinkyan, E. A., Murzagalina, G. M., & Kolomeytseva, A. A. 2018b. Individual entrepreneurship in russia and abroad: Social and legal aspects. Journal of Entrepreneurship Education, 21(Special Issue 2)

Krasyuk, I. A., Kobeleva, A. A., Mikhailushkin, P. V., Terskay, G. A., & Chuvakhina, A. G. (2018). Economic interests focusing as a basis of the formation of investment policy. Espacios, 39(31)

Kuznetsov, V. S., & Suprun, I. K. (2017). Reduction of an adverse impact during well drilling by means of drilling waste usage. Journal of Ecological Engineering, 18(2), 12-15. <u>https://doi.org/10.12911/22998993/68211</u>

Lebedeva, T. E., Dzagoyeva, M. R., Kobersy, I. S., & Ikoev, S. K. 2016. Corporate governance issues and control in conditions of unstable capital risk. International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues, 6(1S): 25-32.

Leonteva, L. S., Maximov, D. A., Kurbanov, S. A., Afanasyev, I. V., Melnikova, T. E., & Rezakov, R. G. (2018). Information services and development of effective urban management. International Journal of Civil Engineering and Technology, 9(11), 2518-2525.

Melnikov, A.B., Shcherbakov, P.A., Voronkova, O.Y., Mikhaylushkin, P.V., & Poltarykhin, A.L. 2018. Level of development of milk and dairy products market of the federal districts of the Russian Federation. International Journal of Mechanical Engineering and Technology, 9(10), 1214-1219.

ISSN 2345-0282 (online) http://jssidoi.org/jesi/ 2019 Volume 7 Number 1 (September) http://doi.org/10.9770/jesi.2019.7.1(46)

Mikhailushkin, P. V., Novoselova, N. N., Shulga, K. V., Kolpak, E. P., & Kabrits, S. A. (2018). Study of the forms and conditions of economic crises in the regional economies of developing countries. Espacios, 39(31)

Mullakhmetov, K. S., & Nazmiev, E. F. 2015. Control in the system of public administration in Russia. International Business Management, 9(7), 1732-1736. <u>https://doi.org/10.3923/ibm.2015.1732.1736</u>

Nagimov, A. R., Slanov, V. P., Shpakova, R. N., Solomonov, M. P., & Il'yaschenko, D. P. 2018. Foresight technologies in the formation of a sustainable regional development strategy. European Research Studies Journal, 21(2), 741-752.

Nechaev, V., Mikhailushkin, P., & Presnyakov, D. (2018). Priorities of social and economic safety. Paper presented at the MATEC Web of Conferences, 212 <u>https://doi.org/10.1051/matecconf/201821204015</u>

Osadchy, E. A. 2015. The intellectual capital importance and the role of organizations against the backdrop of a crisis: Innovation vector. Social Sciences (Pakistan), 10(6), 1013-1020. <u>https://doi.org/10.3923/sscience.2015.1013.1020</u>

Oumlil, R., & Juiz, C. 2018. Acceptance of tourism e-entrepreneurship: Application to educational Balearic Islands context. Journal of Entrepreneurship Education, 21(1)

Perpelyak, A.I., & Salomatina, E.V. 2014. Digital economy: new business opportunities // Scientific community of students of the XXI century. Engineering science: Sat. Art. on mat. LII int. stud scientific-practical conf., 4(51).

Plotnikov, A. V., Kuznetsov, P. A., Urasova, A. A., 2018a. Digital economy: data analysis on the context advertising market in the UK and the US. International Journal of Civil Engineering and Technology, 9(11), 2372-2382.

Plotnikov, A. V., Kuznetsov, P. A., Urasova, A. A., 2018b. Correlation analysis of the data on the UK and US market for contextual advertising. International Journal of Civil Engineering and Technology, 9(11), 1630-1639.

Poltarykhin, A.L., Suray, N.M., Zemskov, Y.V., Abramov, Y.V., & Glotko, A.V. 2018. Food safety in the Russian Federation, its problems with the solutions. Academy of Strategic Management Journal, 17(4)

Polyakova, A. G., Goloshchapova, L. V., Rakhmeeva, I. I., Noeva, E. E., & Rakovskiy, V. I. 2018. A model of regional economic space modernization. European Research Studies Journal, 21(Special Issue 2), 624-634.

Prodanova, N. A., Plaskova, N. S., Bochkareva, N. G., Babalykova, I. A., Gazizyanova, Y. Y., & Zherelina, O. N. (2019a). Integrated Corporate Reporting as An Innovative Business Reporting Model. International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology, 8(5), 2075-2078.

Prodanova, N. A., Trofimova, L. B., Adamenko, A. A., Erzinkyan, E. A., Savina, N. V., & Korshunova, L. N. (2019b). Methodology for assessing control in the formation of financial statements of a consolidated business. International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering, 8(1), 2696-2702.

Sadriev, R. D., & Mullakhmetov, K. S. 2016. Russian business medium: Competition problems. International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues, 6(8Special Issue), 30-38.

Samerkhanova, E., Krupoderova, E., Krupoderova, K., Bakhtiyarova, L., Ponachugin, A., & Kanyanina, T. 2017. Developing an information educational environment based on cloud technologies. Journal of Entrepreneurship Education, 20(3)

Sharafutdinov, R. I., Gerasimov, V. O., Yagudina, O. V., Dmitrieva, I. S., & Pavlov, S. V. 2017. Research of human capital in view of labour potential of staff: National companies case study. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 29th International Business Information Management Association Conference - Education Excellence and Innovation Management through Vision 2020: From Regional Development Sustainability to Global Economic Growth, 839-852.

Shaytura, S. V., Knyazeva, M. D., Feoktistova, V. M., Vintova, T. A., Titov, V. A., & Kozhaev, Y. P. (2018). Philosophy of information fields. International Journal of Civil Engineering and Technology, 9(13), 127-136.

Smirnova, N.V., & Rudenko, G.V. 2017. Tendencies, problems and prospects of innovative technologies implementation by Russian oil companies. Journal of Industrial Pollution Control, 33(1), 937-943.

Swaramarinda, D.R. 2018. The usefulness of information and communication technology in entrepreneurship subject. Journal of Entrepreneurship Education, 21(3)

ISSN 2345-0282 (online) <u>http://jssidoi.org/jesi/</u> 2019 Volume 7 Number 1 (September) http://doi.org/10.9770/jesi.2019.7.1(46)

Sycheva, I. N., Dunets, A. N., Svistula, I. A., Panteleeva, T. A., & Potashova, I. Y. 2018. Labour relations in research of socio-economic systems. European Research Studies Journal, 21(4): 356-367.

Telyakov, A. N., Petukhov, A. A., Dar'in, A. A., & Telyakov, N. M. (2015). Selective precipitation of manganese in the processing of phosphorus-bearing ferromanganese nodules. Metallurgist, 59(5-6), 466-469. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11015-0127-0</u>

The share of citizens using the mechanism of obtaining state and municipal services in electronic form. Retrieved from https://fedstat.ru/indicator/43568

Vasilev, V. L. 2014. The role of information and information technology in the management control function. Biosciences Biotechnology Research Asia, 11(3), 1469-1474. <u>https://doi.org/10.13005/bbra/1540</u>

Voronkova, O. Y., Zadimidcenko, A. M., Goloshchapova, L. V., Polyakova, A. G., & Kamolov, S. G., 2018a. Economic and mathematical modeling of regional industrial processes. European Research Studies Journal, 21(4), 268-279.

Voronkova, O. Y., Sycheva, I. N., Shpakova, R. N., Pashkova, E. Y., & Poltarykhin, A. L. 2018b. Economic mechanism of regulating land relations in the agricultural sector of Russia. European Research Studies Journal, 21(4): 280-291.

Voronkova, O. Y., Iakimova, L. A., Frolova, I. I., Shafranskaya, C. I., Kamolov, S. G., & Prodanova, N. A. (2019). Sustainable development of territories based on the integrated use of industry, resource and environmental potential. International Journal of Economics and Business Administration, 7(2), 151-163.

Widyasthana, G. N. S., Wibisono, D., Purwanegara, M. S., Siallagan, M., & Sukmawati, P. 2017. Corporate venture capital strategy for selecting start-up investments in indonesia using an agent-based model: Cases of a mobile application start-up, payment solution start-up and digital advertising start-up. Journal of Entrepreneurship Education, 20(2)

Yemelyanov, V. A., Fatkulin, A. R., Nedelkin, A. A., Titov, V. A., & Degtyarev, A. V. (2019). Software for weight estimation of the transported liquid iron. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 2019 IEEE Conference of Russian Young Researchers in Electrical and Electronic Engineering, ElConRus 2019, 381-384. <u>https://doi.org/10.1109/EIConRus.2019.865701</u>

Zagrivnyi E. A., Malarev V.I., Kopteva A.V. 2019. Studying the Operating Mode of the Down-Hole Electrode Heater for the Production of High-Viscosity Oil. Proceedings of the 2019 IEEE Conference of Russian Young Researchers in Electrical and Electronic Engineering, ElConRus 2019. Pp. 1110-1113. <u>https://doi.org/10.1109/ElConRus.2019.8656868</u>

Acknowledgements

Russian Foundation for basic research (rfbr) for support in the framework of the research project: Russian middle classes: theoretical and methodological bases of identification, social standards of identification, evaluation and increased number (No. 16-02-00533) "agreement No. 16-02-00533/16 from May 12, 2016 onwards.

ISSN 2345-0282 (online) <u>http://jssidoi.org/jesi/</u> 2019 Volume 7 Number 1 (September) http://doi.org/10.9770/jesi.2019.7.1(46)

Valentina IVANOVA ORCID ID: 0000-0002-1752-3604

Andrey POLTARYKHIN ORCID ID: 0000-0003-2272-2007

Andrzej SZROMNIK ORCID ID: 0000-0003-2494-0753

Olga ANICHKINA ORCID ID: 0000-0002-0243-4457

Copyright © 2019 by author(s) and VsI Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Center This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY). <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>

C Open Access