PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Forebays of the poligonal cross-section of the irrigating pumping station

To cite this article: B Matyakubov et al 2020 IOP Conf. Ser.: Mater. Sci. Eng. 883 012050

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

- <u>Tailored emission to boost open-circuit</u> voltage in solar cells Nicklas Anttu, Pyry Kivisaari and Yang Chen
- <u>Controllable optical response in hybrid</u> <u>opto-electromechanical systems</u> Cheng Jiang, , Yuan-Shun Cui et al.
- Differences between two definitions of the critical current of HTS coils Jozef Pitel



This content was downloaded from IP address 84.54.70.92 on 16/11/2023 at 19:54

Forebays of the poligonal cross-section of the irrigating pumping station

B Matyakubov¹, M Mamajonov², B Shakirov², G Teplova¹, B Shakirov³

¹Tashkent Institute of Irrigation and Agricultural Mechanization Engineers, Tashkent, Uzbekistan

²Andijan branch of Tashkent State Agrarian University, Andijan, Uzbekistan ³Andijan machine-building institute, Andijan, Uzbekistan

bmatyakubov@inbox.ru

Abstract. The article discusses the use of the design of the forebays of a polygonal cross-section to improve the hydraulic characteristics of the water intake structures of pumping stations, in which the longitudinal slope in the direction of the middle chamber is i = 0.1, the adjacent longitudinal slope is 0.15 and with the extreme chambers the longitudinal the slope is 0.2. The faces of each chamber with a forebay have a transverse slope equal to $i_c = 0.1$ and the angle of taper of the forebays in the plan is $\alpha = 35^{\circ}$. In the joint operation of 5 pumps, for the forebays of a polygonal crosssection, the total resistance coefficient is $\sum \xi_{total ext.} = 0.568$ and for a typical $\sum \xi_{total ext.} = 0.72$. With the simultaneous operation of 5 pumps, the average supply of 3 pumps is $0.0051 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$, 2, and 4 pumps, respectively $0.00498 \dots 0.00504 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$, for 1 and 5 pumps, 0.00478, and 0.00481 m^3/s , respectively at the extreme pumps, the flow rate increases by 4.9...6.3% relative to the standard forebays.

1. Introduction

In some cases, the construction of new water intake facilities is not possible, which entails the need to choose other alternative technical solutions to mitigate the problems encountered during their operation, such as bottom sediment entering the water intake structures and the unsatisfactory hydraulic mode of operation of the extreme water intake chambers and, as a consequence, the supply of extreme pumps below design. The design of several water intake structures of the National Assembly, built decades ago, was carried out using calculation methods that far from meet modern requirements. Therefore, for the rational operation of old pumping stations, it is necessary to refine the data on the operating modes that have developed on them and take them into account in the practice of water supply and environmental protection, as well as the use of sediments as reclamants [1-6].

In this regard, in solving problems of improving the operation of the water intake structures of the National Assembly it is necessary to carry out studies that make it possible to compare the designed operation mode of the pumping station facilities with the actual operating conditions during operation, and also to obtain data on the mode that ensures its most efficient operation [7,8,9,10,11].

A uniform approach to the water intake eliminates the occurrence of a velocity moment at the inlet to the impeller blades and thereby stabilizes the operation of pumps, especially axial ones [8,12].

2. Methods

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd 1

To establish a favorable hydraulic mode of operation of the forebays and water intake chambers, experimental studies were carried out in a laboratory setup according to three options [10, 11]. In these variants of the model, the forebays are structurally identical: the central angle of taper $a = 35^{\circ}$, the slope of the bottom i = 0.2, the depth of the inlet of the suction pipe under the water horizon h_2 is the same and set horizontally concerning the rear wall of the chamber.

Forebays differ only in the width of the intake chamber, for the 1 variant, the chamber width $b_{cam} = 2D_{in}$ for the 2 variant is $b_{cam} = 1.2D_{in}$, where D_{in} is the diameter of the inlet of the suction pipe. Therefore, the length of the forebays is respectively m and m. According to option 3, the forebays are of a polygonal cross-section in which the longitudinal slope in the direction of the middle chamber along the bottom of the chambers is i = 0.1 and the longitudinal slope adjacent to it on both sides 2 and 4 of the chamber is 0.15, at the extreme forebays 1 and 5, the longitudinal slope with the forebays is 0.2. Besides, the faces of each chamber with an advance chamber have a transverse slope, so 1 and 2 chambers located from 3 forebays on the right have a transverse slope equal to $i_c = 0.1$ and the 4 and 5 forebays located on the left have a transverse slope equal to $i_c = 0.1$. The slope of the bottom of the forebays in this design, together with the activation of the bottom flow, reduces sediment deposition in it. The cone angle of the forebays in the plan is $\alpha = 35^0$ (Figures 1 and 2). The width of the water intake chamber is taken equal to $b_{cam} = 1.2D_{in}$ or is assumed to be the same as in option 2, where the length of the forebays is equal to $L_{fore}=0.81$ m [13, 14, 15, 16].

The experiments showed that the spreading of the flow does not occur along the axis of the chamber, as a result of flowing around the gobies at an angle that leads to the formation of whirlpool zones. Whirlpool zones are formed in the outer chambers with a joint operating mode of 5 and 4 (1 + 2 + 3 + 4), 3 (1 + 2 + 5), 2 (1 + 2), 2 (1 + 3), 2 (1 + 5) pumps and 1 (1) pump. To prevent funnel formation, it is recommended to install flow guiding devices at the entrance to the chamber, but they worsen the operating conditions of the chamber. Besides, the oblique flow inlet into the chamber leads to an increase in hydraulic resistance [17].

This dictates the need for research and development of the design of water intake structures providing a direct supply of flow to the water reception chambers and uniform spreading in the tank.

According to some researchers, the sudden expansion of the flow leads to the formation of two corresponding characteristic sections: 1 section is the distance from the beginning of the expansion of the stream to the center of the vortex zones, this distance is approximately equal to 2/3 of the length of the vortex zones, in this section the flow gradually expands. The boundary of the transit flow is usually straight in the plan, the bending line relative to the axis of the channel is very small.

A.G. Solovyova in a laboratory setup researched various roughnesses, changing the ratio of channel width b to channel depth h in a ratio of 4 to 10 [6]. According to her data, the angle φ between the channel axis and the transit flow in the first section varies from 2⁰ to 8⁰, with increasing roughness φ increases, and with a decrease in the b/h ratio, the angle φ decreases. According to A.G. Averkiev, the length of the first section is $\frac{2}{3}l_2$ [18]. In our experiments, the 2 site is from the center of the whirlpool to the end of the expansion zone; its length is 1/3 of the length of the flow, the boundary of the research of A.G. Solovieva, in this section there is a sharp expansion of the flow, the boundary of the transit flow is a curved line in the form of a slash [17].

In studies, extended flow spreading is forced, due to the operation of pumping units, the boundary between the transit flow and the whirlpool does not have a vertical cross-section, so it is impossible to show any kind of line in the plan. The surface and bottom flow do not participate in the water supply of the intake chambers, but in depth, the layer of the stream in the center is closest in position to the expanding stream in the simple case.

To activate the flow in the bottom part of the fore chamber and to evenly spread the flow into the water intake chambers, as well as to reduce the angle of entry, a new design of the water intake construction of the polygonal cross-section was developed (Figure 1 and 2) [19, 20, 21].



The sidewalls of the extreme 1 and 5 chambers with a feed channel are connected using a vertical wall. Therefore, the sidewalls of the chamber in the initial part under a slope, further along, the length gradually passes into a vertical position. The cross-section of the chamber is polygonal or represents the shape of a polygon (Figures 1 and 2).

3. Results and discussion

The experiments showed that the volume of the transit flow and the whirlpool zones significantly change in the 3rd version of the fore chamber. The direction of the bottom flow located in the gap in the center of the fore chamber coincides with the axis of the middle and extreme chambers. This phenomenon is observed in all pump operation modes. The angle of entry of the flow into the extreme chambers is approximately 6...80, which is established experimentally.

Slight flow rounding affects the camera mode. In all operating modes of the pumping station in the central and extreme chambers, the formation of whirlpool zones decreased. This indicates a shockless flow inlet into the water intake chambers. The determination of hydraulic resistances according to option 3 in the tank and water intake chambers was carried out in the same way as in options 1 and 2.

At the beginning of the fore-chamber, there is 1 measuring cross-section, and the 2nd target is taken 10 sm inland from the entrance of the water intake chamber. In the 3rd version, flow spreading in the fore chamber and the formation of whirlpool zones were studied with a different combination of the number of working pumps.

The results of the studies show that in the joint operation of 5 pumps, in version 3, the total coefficient of resistance is $\sum \xi_{tot.ext} = 0.568$, and in version $2 \sum \xi_{tot.ext} = 0.72$, or a decrease in the coefficient resistance amounted to $\Delta \sum \xi_{tot} = 0.152$. In the middle chamber, in the 3rd variant, $\sum \xi_{tot.aver} = 0.163$, and in the second variant, $\sum \xi_{tot.aver} = 0.159$ or the decrease in the resistance coefficient is insignificant. The sum of the resistance coefficients $\sum \xi_{tot} = 0.364$ (in option 3) and $\sum \xi_{tot} = 0.428$ (in option 2) a decrease of $\Delta \sum \xi_{tot} = 0.066$ (Figure 3).

In working pumps according to scheme 2 (1 + 2) and 1 (1), a decrease in the resistance coefficient in the extreme chambers was also observed. During the operation of the average pump 1 (3), the resistance coefficient changed insignificantly.



On the section of the advance chamber and water intake chambers, a decrease in the pressure loss occurs due to the improvement of the conditions of the flow inlet to the outer chambers. Since the length of the chambers is constant, the pressure loss in it relative to the 2 options is almost unchanged [22].

When conducting experiments according to option 3, the amount of sediment in the whirlpool relative to option 2 is 1.5 times, and relative to option 1, it is 2.2...2.4 times less.

The expansion of the flow in plan and vertical activates the bottom flow of the fore chamber, reduces the degree of expansion of the transit flow, and the degree of decrease in speed, and sediment deposition in it decreases. During the experiments, the flow and pressure of the pump were measured. With the simultaneous operation of 5 pumps, the average supply of 3 pumps is 0.0051 m³/s, 2, and 4 pumps, respectively 0.00498...0.00504 m³/s, for 1 and 5 pumps, respectively 0.00478 and 0.00481 m³/s (Figure 4).



4. Conclusions

- 1. The spreading of the flow in the forebays of the polygonal cross-section creates a uniform distribution of the bottom flow into the water intake chambers and due to a decrease in the angle of rotation at the inlet, the transverse circulation in the flow near the suction pipe disappears and the hydraulic resistance decreases.
- 2. In the forebays of a polygonal cross-section with simultaneous operation of 5 pumps, the average supply of 3 pumps is 0.0051 m³/s, 2, and 4 pumps, respectively 0.00498 ... 0.00504 m³/s, 1 and 5 pumps, respectively 0.00478 and 0.00481 m³/s.
- 3. During the joint operation of 5 pumps, in version 3 the total coefficient of resistance is $\sum \xi_{total ext} = 0.568$, and in version 2 $\sum \xi_{total ext} = 0.72$, or the decrease in the coefficient of resistance is 2 $\sum \xi_{total ext} = 0.152$.
- 4. In the forebays of a polygonal cross-section, the supply of 3 pumps does not change, but for 1 and 5 pumps, 5.7...6.3% relative to 3 pumps and 1.2...2.4% less for 2 and 4 pumps water supply. The supply of extreme pumps 1 and 5 relative to the 2nd option is 4.9...6.3% and relative to the 1st option it increases by 9.4...10.3%.

References

- [1] Glovatskiy O, Djavburiyev T and Urazmukhamedova Z 2019 Interconnection of influent channel and pumping station units *Presented at the*
- [2] Mamajonov M, Uralov B 2005 Change of water supply of pumps Agric. Uzb 1 pp 28–29
- [3] Nenja V, Khovanskyy S, 2012 Providing of the law of pumping station parameters' regulation by means of throttling elements *Proc Eng* pp 175–181
- [4] Ikramov N, Majidov T 2013 Factors affecting the operational and energy mode of operation of pumping stations *Materials of the International scientific-practical conference 'Problems of complex arrangement of techno-natural systems' part 1 'Land reclamation, recultivation and protection of lands*' pp. 215–220
- [5] Shankar A, Kalaiselvan V, Subramaniam U and Shanmugam P 2016 A comprehensive review on energy efficiency enhancement initiatives in centrifugal pumping system *Appl Energ* pp 181
- [6] Menon S 2010 Working Guide to Pumps and Pumping Stations *Calculations and Simulations* Book
- [7] Ergashev A A 2016 The results of field studies of the pump unit with a frequency converter J

Irrig L Reclam **01** pp 31–34

- [8] Kan E 2019 The change in the efficiency factor of the pumping unit with a frequency converter *Presented at the*
- [9] Bazarov D, Shodiev B and Norkulov B 2019 Aspects of the extension of forty exploitation of bulk reservoirs for irrigation and hydropower purposes *Presented at the*
- [10] Beglov I F, Glovatsky O Ya, 2001 Analysis of fault diagnosis systems for pumping units *Presented at the*
- [11] Bazarov DR, Vokhidov OF, Lutsenko LA, and Sultanov S 2019 Restrictions Applied When Solving One-Dimensional Hydrodynamic Equations In: Proc. EECE 2019, Lect. Notes Civ. Eng. 70. pp 299–305
- [12] Chebaevsky V F, Vishnevsky K P 2000 Design of pumping stations and testing of pumping units *Presented at the*
- [13] Glovatskiy O, Djavburiyev T, Urazmukhamedova Z, Gazaryan A and Akhmadov F 2019 Interconnection of influent channel and pumping station units E3S Web Conf 97 doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/20199705011
- [14] Vatin N, Lavrov N and Shipilov A 2015 The water intake facility for diversion HPPs in winter operation conditions in an urban area *Procedia Eng* **117** pp 369–375
- [15] Vatin N, Lavrov N and Loginov G 2016 Processes at Water Intake from Mountain Rivers into Hydropower and Irrigation Systems *MATEC Web Conf* **73**
- [16] Noqulov B E 2019 Improving hydraulic methods to improve reliability without dam water intake.
- [17] Artikbekova F K 2020 Estimating the processes in inlet canal with the consideration of the operational features of pump stations
- [18] Ikramov N 2019 Effect of parallel connection of pumping units on operating costs of pumping station *Presented at the*
- [19] Troitsky VP 1981 The influence of the shape of the pressureless cylindrical channel and roughness on the pressure loss *Environmental protection from industrial emissions from pulp* and paper industry Interuniversity collection of scientific papers
- [20] Abdullaev I, Horst M, Mirzaev N and Matyakubov B 2003 Water productivity in the Syr-darya river basin temporal and spatial differences *9th International Drainage Workshop*
- [21] Mohan Reddy, Jumaboev K, Matyakubov B and Eshmuratov D 2013 Evaluation of furrow irrigation practices in Fergana Valley of Uzbekistan Agricultural water management 117 pp 133-144
- [22] Matyakubov B Sh 2005 Efficient water use and water productivity in the lower reaches of the Amudarya *Bulletin of Agrarian Science of Uzbekistan* **1(19)** Tashkent pp 49 54
- [23] Matyakubov B, Isabaev K, Yulchiyev D and Azizov Sh 2020 Recommendations for improving the reliability of hydraulic structures in the on-farm network *Journal of Critical Reviews* 5 pp 376-379